UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,473	12/29/2004	Tetsuya Kamihara	040302-0427	2688
	7590 08/07/200 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500	T NIW	ESSEX, STEPHAN J		
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/519,473	KAMIHARA, TETSUYA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	STEPHAN ESSEX	1795			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ma This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-25 is/are pending in the application Papers 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13 is/are withdrawn for the specific state of the above claim(s) 1.3 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.3-12 and 14-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject.	rom consideration.				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12/29/2004 is/are: a) ☑ Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	accepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

The applicant's request for reconsideration filed on May 18, 2009 was received.
 A 37 CFR 1.131 declaration filed on May 18, 2009 was received. New claims 14-25 were added.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Specification

3. The following title is suggested: FUEL CELL SYTEM WITH RECIRCULATION SYSTEM AND PURGE VALVE.

This may result in a slightly longer title, but the loss in brevity of title will be more than offset by the gain in its informative value in indexing, classifying, searching, etc. (see MPEP § 606.01).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Claims 1, 3-6, 9, 10, 14-19, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Andreoli et al. (hereinafter "Andreoli") (U.S. Pat. No. 5,605,770).

Regarding claims 1, 4, 5, 9, 10, 14, 17 18, 22 and 23, Andreoli teaches a fuel cell system which includes a fuel cell constituted by a plurality of units **1**, **2**, a subsystem for the supply of hydrogen comprising a delivery line **9** (supply system), which supplies the anodes of the fuel cell through a control valve **12** (fuel pressure regulator) and a primary

manifold 13 (supply system), and a main discharge manifold 20. The valve 12 is controlled jointly by a pressure sensor 13 and a signal generated by a central processing unit 15 (controller) which governs the whole system by means of suitable signals. Temperature sensors 17, 117, a pressure sensor 14 and a flow sensor 19 on the manifold 13, the supply line 11 and at the outlet of the cell provide the central processing unit 15 with information which is used in the control of the system. The main discharge manifold 20 is connected to a valve 22 (purge valve) for discharging exhaust gases and a fan 23 (recirculation system) for recycling any hydrogen in excess of the stoichiometric quantity and not consumed the cell (see col. 3, lines 19-63).

Andreoli is silent to a valve opening sensor. However, it is the position of the examiner that a valve opening sensor is inherent, given that the central processing unit **15** must operate the opening and closing of the control valve **12** (see col. 3, lines 41-45). A reference which is silent about a claimed invention's features is inherently anticipatory if the missing feature is necessarily present in that which is described in the reference. Inherency is not established by probabilities or possibilities. See *In re Robertson*, 49 USPQ2d 1949 (1999).

Although Andreoli is silent to the central processing unit **15** adjusting the opening of a purge valve to maintain a flow rate of fuel in the fuel gas passing through the purge valve at a threshold set in accordance with operation conditions of the fuel cell system, Andreoli teaches that the central control unit **15** controls the operation of the fuel cell based on the pressure and flow rate information received from pressure sensor **14** and flow sensor **19** and would therefore be capable of performing the claimed function (see

Art Unit: 1795

col. 3, lines 47-50; figure 1). Furthermore, the cited prior art teaches all of the positively recited structure of the claimed apparatus. The courts have held that apparatus claims must structurally distinguishable from the part art in terms of structure, not function. See *In re Danley*, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959); and *Hewlett Packard Co. V. Bausch and Lomb, Inc.*, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (see MPEP §§ 2114 and 2173.05(g)).

Regarding claims 3, 6, 15, 16 and 19, the cited prior art teaches all of the positively recited structure of the claimed apparatus. The courts have held that apparatus claims must structurally distinguishable from the part art in terms of structure, not function. See *In re Danley*, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959); and *Hewlett Packard Co. V. Bausch and Lomb, Inc.*, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (see MPEP §§ 2114 and 2173.05(g)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The claim rejections as being unpatentable over Simpson et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0161657) on claims 1 and 3-13 are withdrawn because the declaration filed on May 18, 2009 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the reference.
- 6. Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 9, 14-16, 18, 19, 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakao (JP2002-151116; see English machine-translation) in view of Epp et al. (hereinafter "Epp") (U.S. Pat. No. 6,063,515).

Art Unit: 1795

Regarding claims 1 and 14 Nakao teaches a fuel cell system comprising a feeding passage **11** (supply system) supplied to a fuel cell stack **6**, a cycle channel **13** (recirculation system) which circulates through the hydrogen gas discharged from the fuel cell stack **6** to an ejector pump **3**. A system controller **7** controls these parts (see paragraphs 25 and 27; figures 1 and 3).

Nakao is silent to a purge valve contained in the fuel gas recirculation system.

Epp teaches a fuel cell electric power generation system **300** having a fuel recirculation loop **322** (recirculation system) provided with a purge valve **391** (see col. 8, lines 50-52; col. 10, lines 27-29; figure 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a purge valve in the cycle channel of Nakao because Epp teaches the use of the purge valve to allow the relief of pressure within the cycle channel.

Although Nakao is silent to the controller **7** adjusting the opening of a purge valve to maintain a flow rate of fuel in the fuel gas passing through the purge valve at a threshold set in accordance with operation conditions of the fuel cell system, Nakao teaches that the controller **7** controls the operation of the fuel cell based on the pressure and flow rate of the reactant gasses and would therefore be capable of performing the claimed function (see paragraphs 33 and 49). Furthermore, the cited prior art teaches all of the positively recited structure of the claimed apparatus. The courts have held that apparatus claims must structurally distinguishable from the part art in terms of structure, not function. See *In re Danley*, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959); and *Hewlett Packard*

Co. V. Bausch and Lomb, Inc., 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (see MPEP §§ 2114 and 2173.05(g)).

Page 6

Regarding claims 3, 6, 15, 16 and 19, the cited prior art teaches all of the positively recited structure of the claimed apparatus. The courts have held that apparatus claims must structurally distinguishable from the part art in terms of structure, not function. See *In re Danley*, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959); and *Hewlett Packard Co. V. Bausch and Lomb, Inc.*, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (see MPEP §§ 2114 and 2173.05(g)).

Regarding claims 5 and 18, Nakao teaches a pressure sensor **5** which detects the pressure of the hydrogen gas supplied to the fuel cell stack **6** (see paragraph 25; figures 1 and 3).

Regarding claims 7 and 20, Nakao teaches an ejector pump **3**, but is silent to a pressure sensor for detecting a supply pressure of fuel gas supplied to the ejector.

Epp teaches a pressure sensor **363** disposed upstream of a fuel knock-out drum **326** (ejector). The rate of supply of process fluid to a vaporizer may be adjusted responsive to an output of the pressure sensor **363** (see col. 6, lines 44-48; col. 10, lines 29-39; figure 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a pressure sensor before the ejector of Nakao because Epp teaches that it enables the pressure of the fuel gas to be controlled.

Regarding claims 9 and 22, Nakao teaches a regulator valve **2** which adjusts the pressure of the hydrogen gas from the stored fuel storage cylinder **1** and a pressure

sensor **5** which detects the pressure of the hydrogen gas supplied to the fuel cell stack **6** (see paragraph 25).

Nakao is silent to a valve opening sensor. However, it is the position of the examiner that a valve opening sensor is inherent, given that the controller **7** must operate the opening and closing of the regulator valve **2**. A reference which is silent about a claimed invention's features is inherently anticipatory if the missing feature is necessarily present in that which is described in the reference. Inherency is not established by probabilities or possibilities. See *In re Robertson*, 49 USPQ2d 1949 (1999).

7. Claims 11, 12, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andreoli as applied to claims 1, 3-6, 9 and 10 above, and further in view of Fuglevand et al. (hereinafter "Fuglevand") (U.S. Pat. No. 6,096,449).

Regarding claims 11, 12, 24 and 25, Andreoli is silent to ammeter.

Fuglevand teaches a controller **122** which upon sensing, by way of current sensor **128** (ammeter), a given current output of a fuel cell **10**, adjusts a valve **104** into a predetermined fluid metering relationship relative to the supply of fuel gas **105** (see col. 7, lines 50-55; figure 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included a current sensor in the fuel cell systems of Andreoli because Fuglevand teaches the use of a current sensor to maintain the effectiveness of the fuel cell.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,473

Art Unit: 1795

8. Claims 11, 12, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakao and Epp as applied to claims 1, 3, 5-7 and 9 above, and further in view of Fuglevand et al. (hereinafter "Fuglevand") (U.S. Pat. No. 6,096,449).

Page 8

Regarding claims 11, 12, 24 and 25, Nakao and Epp are silent to ammeter.

Fuglevand teaches a controller **122** which upon sensing, by way of current sensor **128** (ammeter), a given current output of a fuel cell **10**, adjusts a valve **104** into a predetermined fluid metering relationship relative to the supply of fuel gas **105** (see col. 7, lines 50-55; figure 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included a current sensor in the fuel cell systems of Nakao and Epp because Fuglevand teaches the use of a current sensor to maintain the effectiveness of the fuel cell.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to STEPHAN ESSEX whose telephone number is (571) 270-7866. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30-5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dah-Wei Yuan can be reached on (571) 272-1295. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,473 Page 9

Art Unit: 1795

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/SJE/

/Dah-Wei D. Yuan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795